arXiv:1210.1500v1 [math-ph] 4 Oct 2012

Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions to the Coagulation Equations with Singular Kernel

Carlos Cueto Camejo[*], Robin Gröpler, Gerald Warnecke

Institute for Analysis and Numerics, Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg,
Universitätsplatz 2, D-39106 Magdeburg, Germany

October 5, 2012

Abstract

In this article we prove the existence of solutions to the coagulation equation with singular kernels. We use weighted L^1-spaces to deal with the singularities. The Smoluchowski kernel is covered by our proof. The weak L^1 compactness methods are applied to suitably chosen approximating equations as a base of our proof. A more restrictive uniqueness result is also given.

1 Introduction

Certain problems in the physical sciences are governed by the coagulation equation, which describes the kinetics of particle growth where particles can coagulate to form larger particles via binary interaction. The coagulation equation was formulated by Smoluchowski (1917) [16] and by Müller (1928) [11] in a discrete and an integral form respectively. Examples of this process can be found e.g. in astrophysics [2], in chemical and process engineering [14], and aerosol science [15].

Let the non-negative variables x and t represent the size of some particles and time respectively. By $u(x,t)$ we denote the number density of particles with size x at time t. The rate at which particles of size x coalesce with particles of size y is represented by the coagulation kernel $K(x,y)$.

The general coagulation equation is now given by

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)u(x-y,t)u(y,t)\,dy - \int_0^\infty K(x,y)u(x,t)u(y,t)\,dy. \tag{1}$$

The equation (1) is considered for some given initial data $u_0(x) \geq 0$, i.e. we consider the initial condition

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{2}$$

There are many previous results related to the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the different forms of the coagulation equation for non-singular kernels, see e.g. [9], [13], [10], [5]. But to our knowledge there are few works on Smoluchowski's coagulation equation with singular kernels, see e.g. [4], [3]. Fournier and Laurençot [4] proved the existence of self similar solutions to the Smoluchowski coagulation equation with homogeneous kernels, while Escobedo and Mischler [3] gave some regularity and size properties of the self similar profile. A key ingredient for our existence theorem is the use of specific weighted L^1-spaces. In [4], Fournier and Laurençot obtained their existence result in the weighted space $L^1\left([0,\infty[; x\,dx\right)$. For our result we introduce the weighted space $L^1\left([0,\infty[; x^{-1}+x\,dx\right)$.

In this paper we present a proof of an existence theorem of solutions to the Smoluchowski coagulation equation (1) for the following class of singular kernels

$$K(x,y) \leq k(1+x+y)^{\lambda}(xy)^{-\sigma}, \qquad \lambda-\sigma \in [0,1[,\ \sigma \in [0,1/2]. \tag{3}$$

---

[*] Corresponding author. Fax: +49 391 6718073,
E-mail address: cuetacam@st.ovgu.de (C. Cueto Camejo).

We are giving a more general result than [4] since we do not restrict ourselves to self similar solutions. Also in [4] they just considered the equality for the kernels

$$\begin{array}{ll} K_1(x,y) = (x^\alpha + y^\alpha)(x^{-\beta} + y^{-\beta}), & \alpha \in [0,1[,\ \beta \in]0,\infty[, \\ K_2(x,y) = (x^\alpha + y^\alpha)^\beta, & \alpha \in [0,\infty[,\ \beta \in]0,\infty[,\ \alpha\beta \in [0,1[, \\ K_3(x,y) = x^\alpha y^\beta + x^\beta y^\alpha, & \alpha \in]0,1[,\ \beta \in]0,1[,\ \alpha + \beta \in [0,1[, \end{array} \tag{4}$$

which are included in our class of kernels. The K_1 kernels case is covered by our result just for $\alpha \in [0,1[$ and $\beta \in [0,1/2]$. For the others two kernels we have a different parameter range. For details see the end of Section 2. The uniqueness problem is also studied and we obtain uniqueness for a more restricted class of kernels than (3).

Our result is obtained in a suitable weighted Banach space of L^1 function for kernels with singularities on the axes, covering in this way the important Smoluchowski coagulation kernel

$$K(x,y) = (x^{1/3} + y^{1/3})(x^{-1/3} + y^{-1/3})$$

for Brownian motion, see Smoluchowski [16]. This kernel is one of the few kernels used in applications that is derived from fundamental physics and not just by ad hoc modeling. The equi-partition of kinetic energy (EKE) kernel

$$K(x,y) = (x^{1/3} + y^{1/3})^2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y}},$$

see Hounslow [7] and Tan et al. [19], and the granulation kernel

$$K(x,y) = \frac{(x+y)^a}{(xy)^b},$$

see [8], are also covered by our analysis. These kernels were not included in the results of Fournier and Laurençot [5].

Our approach is based on the well known method by Stewart [18] for non-singular kernels. However, it turned out that our modification using weighted L^1-spaces was not always straight forward. Stewart in his method defined a sequence of truncated problems. He proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions to them. Using weak compactness theory, he proved that this sequence of solutions converges to a certain function. Then it is shown that the limiting function solves the original problem. In our approach we redefine Stewart's truncated problem in order to eliminate the singularities of the kernels. Using the contraction mapping principle we prove that our truncated problems have a unique solution. We construct a singular sequence around the origin to deal with the singularities of the kernels and prove that this sequence and the sequence of solutions to the truncated problems are weakly relatively compact and equicontinuous in time by using the Dunford-Pettis and Arzela-Ascoli Theorem repectively. These properties of the sequence are later used to prove that the sequence of solutions to the truncated problem converges to a solution of our original problem. In that way we obtain the existence of solutions to the coagulation equation with singular kernels. The uniqueness result can be obtained as in Stewart [17] by taking the difference of two solutions and showing that this difference is equal to zero by appliying Gronwall's inequality. The proof is included for completeness.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the hypotheses for our problem and some necessary definitions. In Section 3 we prove in Theorem 3.4 the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the truncated problem and we extract a weakly convergent subsequence in L^1 from a sequence of unique solutions for truncated equations to (1)-(2). In Section 4 we show that the solution of (1) is actually the limit function obtained from the weakly convergent subsequence of solutions of the truncated problem. In Section 5 we prove the uniqueness, based on methods of Stewart [17], of the solutions to (1)-(2) for a modification of the class (3) of kernels. We obtain uniqueness for some kernels which are not covered by the existence result.

2 Weak solutions and weighted L^1-spaces

In order to study the existence of solutions of (1)-(2), we define Y to be the following Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$

$$Y = \{u \in L^1(]0,\infty[) : \|u\|_Y < \infty\} \quad \text{where} \quad \|u\|_Y = \int_0^\infty (x + x^{-1})|u(x,t)|dx.$$

That Y is a Banach space is easily seen. We also write

$$\|u\|_x = \int_0^\infty xu(x,t)dx \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{x^{-1}} = \int_0^\infty x^{-1}u(x,t)dx,$$

and set

$$Y^+ = \{u \in Y : u \geq 0 \quad a.e.\}.$$

We define a solution of problem (1)-(2) in the same way as Stewart [18]:

Definition 2.1 *Let $T \in]0,\infty[$. A solution $u(x,t)$ of (1)-(2) is a function $u : [0,T[\longrightarrow Y^+$ such that for a.e. $x \in]0,\infty[$ and $t \in [0,T[$ the following properties hold*

i) *$u(x,t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0,\infty[$,*

ii) *$u(x,\cdot)$ is continuous on $[0,T[$,*

iii) *for all $t \in [0,T[$ the following integral is bounded*

$$\int_0^t \int_0^\infty K(x,y)u(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau < \infty,$$

iv) *for all $t \in [0,T[$, u satisfies the following weak formulation of (1)*

$$u(x,t) = u(x,0) + \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)u(x-y,\tau)u(y,\tau)\,dy - \int_0^\infty K(x,y)u(x,\tau)u(y,\tau)\,dy \right] d\tau.$$

In the next sections we make use of the following hypotheses

Hypotheses 2.1

H1) *$K(x,y)$ is a continuous non-negative function on $]0,\infty[\times]0,\infty[$,*

H2) *$K(x,y)$ is a symmetric function, i.e. $K(x,y) = K(y,x)$ for all $x,y \in]0,\infty[$,*

H3) *$K(x,y) \leq \kappa(1+x+y)^\lambda (xy)^{-\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in [0,1/2]$, $\lambda - \sigma \in [0,1[$, and a constant $\kappa > 0$.*

In the rest of the paper we consider $\kappa = 1$ for the simplicity.

We study the uniqueness of the solution to (1)-(2) under the following further restriction on the kernels.

H3') *$K(x,y) \leq \kappa_1(x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})(y^{-\sigma} + y^{\lambda-\sigma})$ such that σ, $\lambda - \sigma \in [0,1/2]$ and $\kappa_1 > 0$.*

The restriction $\lambda - \sigma \in [0,1/2]$ in **H3')** limits our uniqueness result to a subset of the kernels of the class defined in **H3)**, namely to the ones for which $\lambda - \sigma \in [0,1/2]$ holds. But the class of kernels defined in **H3')** is also wider than the defined in **H3)** for $\lambda - \sigma \in [0,1/2]$. In this way we are also giving uniqueness result for kernels which are not included in the class defined in **H3)**.

We introduce now some easily derived inequalities that will be used throughout the paper. The proof of these inequalities can be found in Giri [6]. For any $x,y > 0$

$$2^{p-1}(x^p + y^p) \leq (x+y)^p \leq x^p + y^p \qquad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq p \leq 1, \tag{5}$$

$$2^{p-1}(x^p + y^p) \geq (x+y)^p \geq x^p + y^p \qquad \text{if} \quad p \geq 1, \tag{6}$$

$$2^{p-1}(x^p + y^p) \geq (x+y)^p \qquad \text{if} \quad p < 0. \tag{7}$$

We show now, how the kernels (4) are included in the class of kernels we are considering. Using (5) and (6) for the kernels K_1 in (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(x,y) = (x^\alpha + y^\alpha)(x^{-\beta} + y^{-\beta}) &= (x^\alpha + y^\alpha)(x^\beta + y^\beta)(xy)^{-\beta} \\ &\leq 2^{1-\alpha}C(x+y)^{\alpha+\beta}(xy)^{-\beta} \qquad \text{where} \quad C = \begin{cases} 2^{1-\beta} & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad \beta \geq 1 \end{cases} \\ &\leq 2^{1-\alpha}C(1+x+y)^{\alpha+\beta}(xy)^{-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the kernels K_1 can be estimated as follows

$$K_1(x,y) = (x^\alpha + y^\alpha)(x^{-\beta} + y^{-\beta}) \leq \kappa(1+x+y)^\lambda (xy)^{-\sigma},$$

where $\kappa = 2^{1-\alpha}C$, $\alpha+\beta = \lambda$, and $\beta = \sigma$. In that way we can see that the kernels K_1 is considered in our study for $\alpha = \lambda - \sigma \in [0,1[$ and $\beta = \sigma \in [0, 1/2]$. Working in a similar way with the kernels K_2 and K_3 we find that

$$K_2(x,y) = (x^\alpha + y^\alpha)^\beta \leq 2^{1-\alpha}(1+x+y)^{\alpha\beta} = \kappa(1+x+y)^\lambda (xy)^{-\sigma} \tag{8}$$

for $\kappa = 2^{1-\alpha}$, $\lambda = \alpha\beta$, and $\sigma = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} K_3(x,y) = x^\alpha y^\beta + x^\beta y^\alpha &\leq x^{\alpha+\beta} + x^\alpha y^\beta + x^\beta y^\alpha + y^{\alpha+\beta} \\ &= (x^\beta + y^\beta)(x^\alpha + y^\alpha) \\ &\leq 2^{1-\beta} 2^{1-\alpha}(1+x+y)^{\alpha+\beta} \\ &= \kappa(1+x+y)^\lambda (xy)^{-\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for $\kappa = 2^{1-\beta}2^{1-\alpha}$, $\lambda = \alpha+\beta$, and $\sigma = 0$. From (8) and (9) we have that the kernels K_2 and K_3 are included in our result for $\alpha\beta \in [1/2, 3/2[$ and $\alpha + \beta \in [1/2, 3/2[$ respectively, which means that we cover partially the result of [4] for $\alpha\beta \in [1/2, 1[$ and $\alpha+\beta \in [1/2, 1[$. But our study includes the cases $\alpha\beta \in [1, 3/2[$ and $\alpha+\beta \in [1/2, 1[$ respectively which were not studied in [4] and we also do not restrict the values of α and β.

3 The Truncated Problem

We prove the existence of a solution to the problem (1)-(2), by taking the limit of the sequence of solutions of the equations given by replacing the kernel $K(x,y)$ by the 'cut-off' kernel $K_n(x,y)$ for any given $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$K_n(x,y) = \begin{cases} K(x,y) & \text{if} \quad x+y \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad x, y \geq 1/n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The resulting equations are written as

$$\frac{\partial u^n(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)u^n(x-y,t)u^n(y,t)\,dy - \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,t)u^n(y,t)\,dy, \tag{10}$$

with the truncated initial data

$$u_0^n(x) = \begin{cases} u_0(x) & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq x \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where u^n denotes the solution of the problem (10)-(11) for $x \in [0,n]$. Next, we rewrite our truncated problem (10)-(11) in an equivalent form. We prove two lemmas, which are used to show the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the truncated problem.

3.1 Existence and uniqueness of solutions of the truncated problem

We rewrite the truncated problem (10)-(11) in the equivalent form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[u^n(x,t)\exp\left(P(x,t,u^n)\right)\right] = \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(P(x,t,u^n)\right)\int_0^x K_n(x-y)u^n(x-y,t)u^n(y,t)\,dy \tag{12}$$

$$u_0^n(x) = \begin{cases} u_0(x) & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq x \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

where

$$P(x,t,u^n) = \int_0^t \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau.$$

Let us define the operator G as

$$G(c)(x,t) = u_0^n(x)\exp\big(-P(x,t,c)\big) + \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c)-P(x,\tau,c)]\big) \cdot \int\limits_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c(x-y,\tau)c(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau, \tag{14}$$

for $c \in C\left([0,T];L^1(]0,n[)\right)$. Using (12) and (14), we can easily check that a solution u^n to (10)-(11) satisfies

$$u^n(x,t) = G(u^n)(x,t). \tag{15}$$

The problem (10)-(11) is equivalent to the problem (13)-(15). Therefore, we prove the existence of solutions of the problem (13)-(15). In order to do that, we use the contraction mapping principle in some interval $[0,T]$. We introduce some necessary definitions. Let us set

$$L = \|u_0^n\|_Y,\ M = \sup\left\{K(x,y) : x,y \in [1/n,n]\right\}, \tag{16}$$

and choose $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$\exp\left(2nMLt_1\right)\left(1+n^3MLt_1\right) \leq 2 \tag{17}$$

$$\exp\left(2nMLt_2\right)nMLt_2\left(1+n^3MLt_2+n^2\right) < 1. \tag{18}$$

We set

$$t_0 = \min(t_1,t_2,T).$$

For those $c \in C\left([0,T];L^1(]0,n[)\right)$ for which $\int\limits_0^1 x^{-1}|c(x,t)|dx$ is finite for all $t \in [0,t_0]$ we define the norm $\|\cdot\|_D$ by

$$\|c\|_D = \sup_{t\in[0,t_0]} \int\limits_0^n x^{-1}|c(x,t)|\,dx.$$

Now we set

$$D = \left\{c \in C\left([0,t_0];L^1(]0,n[)\right) : \|c\|_D \leq 2L\right\}.$$

For $c \in D$ we have

$$P(x,t,c) \leq nMt\|c\|_D \leq 2nMLt \tag{19}$$

and for $\tau \in [0,t[$

$$P(x,t,c) - P(x,\tau,c) \leq nM(t-\tau)\|c\|_D.$$

We present now some lemmas which are necessary for the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Lemma 3.1 *The functional G maps the set D into itself.*

Proof. Choose c such that $\|c\|_D \le 2L$. For $t \in [0, t_0]$, using (14), (18), (19) and Fubini's Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^n |G(c)(x,t)|x^{-1}dx &= \int_0^n \Bigg| u_0^n(x)\exp\big(P(x,t,c)\big) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c)-P(x,\tau,c)]\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c(x-y,\tau)c(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau \Bigg| x^{-1}dx \\
&\le \int_0^n |u_0^n(x)|\exp\big(P(x,t,|c|)\big)x^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^n \exp\big(P(x,t,|c|)\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)|c(x-y,\tau)||c(y,\tau)|x^{-1}dy\,dx\,d\tau \\
&\le \|u_0^n\|_Y \exp\big(2nMLt\big) + \frac{1}{2}\exp\big(2nMLt\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot \int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)|c(x-y,\tau)||c(y,\tau)|x^{-1}dy\,dx\,d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of integration, then a change of variable $x - y = z$ and then re-changing the order of integration while replacing z by x gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^n |G(c)(x,t)|x^{-1}dx &\le \exp\big(2nMLt\big)\left[\|u_0^n\|_Y + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)|c(x,\tau)||c(y,\tau)|(x+y)^{-1}dy\,dx\,d\tau\right] \\
&\le \exp\big(2nMLt\big)\left[\|u_0^n\|_Y + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{1/n}^{n-1/n}\int_{1/n}^{n-x} K(x,y)|c(x,\tau)||c(y,\tau)|(x+y)^{-1}dy\,dx\,d\tau\right] \\
&\le \exp\big(2nMLt\big)\left[\|u_0^n\|_Y + \frac{1}{4}n^3M\int_0^t\int_{1/n}^{n-1/n}\int_{1/n}^{n-x} |c(x,\tau)||c(y,\tau)|(xy)^{-1}dy\,dx\,d\tau\right] \\
&\le \exp\big(2nMLt\big)\left(\|u_0^n\|_Y + \frac{1}{4}n^3Mt\|c\|_D^2\right) \\
&\le \exp\big(2nMLt\big)\big(1+n^3MLt\big)L \le 2L
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The later inequality is obtained using (17). Hence, we have $\|G\|_D \le 2L$ and this completes the proof of the lemma. ☐

Lemma 3.2 *Let* $B = \max\{\|c_1\|_D, \|c_2\|_D\}$ *and*

$$H(x,\tau,t) = \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_1)-P(x,\tau,c_1)]\big) - \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2)-P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big).$$

For $c_1, c_2 \in C\big([0,t_0];L^1(]0,n[)\big)$ *we have for* $0 \le \tau \le t \le t_0$ *and* $0 \le x \le n$

$$|H(x,\tau,t)| \le (t-\tau)nM\exp\big((t-\tau)nBM\big)\|c_1-c_2\|_D,$$

Lemma 3.2 can be proven analagously as [18, Lemma 3.2]

Lemma 3.3 *For* $c_1, c_2 \in D$ *and* t_0 *as above there exists a constant* $\gamma \in [0,1[$ *such that*

$$\|G(c_1)-G(c_2)\|_D \le \gamma\|c_1-c_2\|_D,$$

i.e. the operator G *is a contraction.*

Proof. Choose $c_1, c_2 \in D$. Then from

$$\begin{aligned}
G(c_1) - G(c_2) = {} & u_0^n(x)\left[\exp\big(P(x,t,c_1)\big) - \exp\big(A(x,t,c_2)\big)\right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_1) - P(x,\tau,c_1)]\big) \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(x-y,\tau)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big) \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(x-y,\tau)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big) \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_2(x-y,\tau)c_2(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big) \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(x-y,\tau)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau \\
= {} & u_0^n(x)H(x,0,t) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t H(x,\tau,t)\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(x-y,\tau)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big)\left[\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_2(x-y,\tau)\left[c_2(y,\tau) - c_1(y,\tau)\right]\right. \\
& \left. + \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(x,\tau)\left[c_2(x-y,\tau) - c_1(x-y,\tau)\right]\right] dy\,d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|G(c_1) - G(c_2)\|_{x^{-1}} \\
& = \int_0^n \left| u_0^n(x)H(x,0,t) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t H(x,\tau,t)\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(x-y,\tau)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big]\left[\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_2(x-y,\tau)\left[c_2(y,\tau) - c_1(y,\tau)\right]\right. \\
& \quad \left.\left. + \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(x,\tau)\left[c_2(x-y,\tau) - c_1(x-y,\tau)\right]\right] dy\,d\tau \right| x^{-1}dx \\
& \leq \int_0^n |u_0^n(x)|\,|H(x,0,t)|\,x^{-1}dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t |H(x,\tau,t)|\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)\,|c_1(x-y,\tau)|\,|c_1(y,\tau)|\,x^{-1}dy\,dx\,d\tau \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \exp\big(P(x,t,|c_2|]\big)\left[\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)\,|c_2(x-y,\tau)|\,|c_2(y,\tau) - c_1(y,\tau)|\right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)\,|c_1(x,\tau)|\,|c_2(x-y,\tau) - c_1(x-y,\tau)|\right] x^{-1}dy\,dx\,d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of integration, then a change of variable $x - y = z$, then re-changing the order of integration while replacing z by x and making use of Lemma 3.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G(c_1) - G(c_2)\|_{x^{-1}} \leq {} & \|u_0^n\|_Y nMt \exp\big(2nMLt\big)\|c_1 - c_2\|_D + \frac{1}{2} nMt \exp\big(2nMLt\big)\|c_1 - c_2\|_D \\
& \qquad \cdot \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)|c_1(x,\tau)||c_1(y,\tau)|(x+y)^{-1} dy\, d\tau \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\exp\big(2nMLt\big) \int_0^t \Bigg[\int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)|c_2(x,\tau)||c_1(y,\tau) - c_2(y,\tau)|(x+y)^{-1} dy\, dx \\
& + \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)|c_1(y,\tau)||c_1(x,\tau) - c_2(x,\tau)|(x+y)^{-1} dy\, dx \Bigg] d\tau \\
\leq {} & \exp\big(2nMLt\big) nMLt\|c_1 - c_2\|_D + \frac{1}{4}\exp\big(2nMLt\big) n^4 M^2 t^2 \|c_1\|_D^2 \|c_1 - c_2\|_D \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\exp\big(2nMLt\big) n^3 Mt \left[\|c_2\|_D \|c_1 - c_2\|_D + \|c_1\|_D \|c_1 - c_2\|_D\right] \\
\leq {} & \exp\big(2nMLt\big) nMLt\|c_1 - c_2\|_D + \exp\big(2nMLt\big) n^4 M^2 L^2 t^2 \|c_1 - c_2\|_D \\
& + \exp\big(2nMLt\big) n^3 MLt \|c_1 - c_2\|_D \\
= {} & \exp\big(2nMLt\big) nMLt\left(1 + n^3 MLt + n^2\right)\|c_1 - c_2\|_D.
\end{aligned}$$

From where we can conclude that

$$\|G(c_1) - G(c_2)\|_D \leq \gamma \|c_1 - c_2\|_D,$$

where $\gamma = \exp\big(2nMLt\big) nMLt\left(1 + n^3 MLt + n^2\right) < 1$, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Theorem 3.4 *Suppose that* ***H1), H2), H3)*** *hold and* $u_0 \in Y^+$. *Then for each* $n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$ *the problem (10)-(11) has a unique solution* u^n *with* $u^n(x,t) \geq 0$ *for a.e.* $x \in [0,n]$ *and* $t \in [0,\infty[$. *Moreover, for all* $t \in [0,\infty[$

$$\int_0^n x u^n(x,t)\, dx = \int_0^n x u^n(x,0)\, dx. \tag{21}$$

Proof. From Lemmas 3.1, 3.3 and the Contraction Mapping Theorem, it follows that there exists a unique solution $u^n(x,t)$ to (13)-(15) in $[0,t_0]$. We proceed now to check that these solutions are non-negative. If we set

$$c_0 = u_0^n \quad \text{and} \quad c_i = G(c_{i-1}),$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, we find that fixed point iteration gives

$$c_i \to u^n \quad \text{in} \quad L^1\big(]0,\infty[\big) \quad \text{as} \quad i \to \infty,$$

and u^n is constructed by positivity preserving iterations, for G given in (14).

Let us check now that the mass conservation property (21) holds. Multiplying (10) by x and integrating with respect to x on $[0,n]$ we have by changes of variables and order of integration as in (20)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n x u^n(x,t)\, dx &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^n \int_0^x x K_n(x-y,y) u^n(x-y,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx - \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} x K_n(x,y) u^n(x,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} (x+y) K_n(x,y) u^n(x,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx - \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} x K_n(x,y) u^n(x,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx \\
&= \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} x K_n(x,y) u^n(x,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx - \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} x K_n(x,y) u^n(x,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

from where we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n xu^n(x,t)\,dx = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \int_0^n xu^n(x,t)dx = \int_0^n xu_0^n(x)\,dx.$$

Now we show that our solution for $t \in [0, t_0]$ extends to arbitrarily large times, changing variables as we did in (20) we proceed to obtain a uniform bound.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-1}\,dx &= \int_0^t \Bigg[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^n\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)u^n(x-y,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-1}dy\,dx \\ &\quad -\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-1}dy\,dx\Bigg]\,d\tau + \int_0^n u_0^n(x)x^{-1}dx \\ &= \int_0^t \Bigg[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)(x+y)^{-1}dy\,dx \\ &\quad -\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-1}dy\,dx\Bigg]\,d\tau + \int_0^n u_0^n(x)x^{-1}dx.\end{aligned}$$

Making use of the inequality (7) and the symmetry of $K(x,y)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-1}dx &\le \int_0^t \Bigg[\frac{1}{8}\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)(x^{-1}+y^{-1})\,dy\,dx \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)(x^{-1}+y^{-1})\,dy\,dx\Bigg]\,d\tau + \int_0^n u_0^n(x)x^{-1}dx \\ &\le -\frac{3}{8}\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)(x^{-1}+y^{-1})\,dy\,dx + \int_0^n u_0^n(x)x^{-1}dx \\ &\le \int_0^n u_0^n(x)x^{-1}dx \le \|u_0^n\|_Y = L. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.4 we can now extend the solution interval from $[0, t_0]$ to $[0, \infty[$. By considering the operator

$$\begin{aligned}G_1(c)(x,t) = u^n(x,t_0)\exp\big(P_1(x,t,c)\big) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_0}^t &\exp\big(-[P_1(x,t,c) - P_1(x,\tau,c)]\big) \\ &\cdot\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c(x-y,\tau)c(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$P_1(x,t,c) = \int_{t_0}^t\int_0^{n-x} K(x,y)c(x,\tau)c(y,\tau)\,dx\,d\tau$$

we can repeat the above argument to show, that there is a unique non-negative solution u^n on $[t_0, t_1]$ where $t_1 = 2t_0$. We can extend the unique solution to $[0, t_j]$ $\quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, repeating this process by considering the operators

$$\begin{aligned}G_{j+1}(c)(x,t) = u^n(x,t_j)\exp\big(P_{j+1}(x,t,c)\big) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_j}^t &\exp\big(-[P_{j+1}(x,t,c) - P_{j+1}(x,\tau,c)]\big) \\ &\cdot\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c(x-y,\tau)c(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$A_{j+1}(x,t,c) = \int_{t_j}^{t} \int_{0}^{n-x} K(x,y)c(x,\tau)c(y,\tau)\,dx\,d\tau$$

In that way we extend the solution to all of $[0,\infty[$. The argument used to get (21) for $[0,t_0]$ shows that (21) holds for $t \in [0,\infty[$ and thus we have completed the proof of Theorem 3.4 by the arbitrariness of n. ☐

3.2 Properties of the solutions of the truncated problem

Lemma 3.5 *Assume that (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. We take u^n to be the non-negative solution to the truncated problem found in Theorem 3.4. Then the following are true*

i) *We have the bound*

$$\int_0^\infty (1+x+x^{-2\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx \le 3L.$$

ii) *Given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $R > 1$ such that for all $t \in [0,T]$*

$$\sup_n \left\{ \int_R^\infty (1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx \right\} \le \epsilon.$$

iii) *Given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $n = 2,3,\dots$ and $t \in [0,T]$*

$$\int_A (1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx < \epsilon \qquad \text{whenever} \qquad \mu(A) < \delta.$$

Proof. i) We split the following integral into three parts

$$\int_0^\infty (1+x+x^{-2\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx = \int_0^n u^n(x,t)\,dx + \int_0^n xu^n(x,t)\,dx + \int_0^n x^{-2\sigma}u^n(x,t)\,dx. \tag{23}$$

Working with the first integral of the right hand side of (23) and using that $\sigma \in [0,\frac{1}{2}]$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^n u^n(x,t)\,dx &= \int_0^1 x^{-1}xu^n(x,t)\,dx + \int_1^n x^{-1}xu^n(x,t)\,dx \\
&\le \int_0^1 x^{-1}u^n(x,t)\,dx + \int_1^n xu^n(x,t)\,dx \\
&\le \int_0^n x^{-1}u^n(x,t)\,dx + \int_0^n xu^n(x,t)\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the mass conservation property (21) and $n > 1$ combined with (22) we obtain

$$\int_0^n u^n(x,t)\,dx \le \int_0^n x^{-1}u_0^n(x)\,dx + \int_0^n xu_0^n(x)\,dx \le \|u_0^n(x)\|_Y = L. \tag{24}$$

Now let us consider the third integral on the right hand side of (23)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-2\sigma}\,dx &= \int_0^1 u^n(x,t)x^{-2\sigma}\,dx + \int_1^n u^n(x,t)x^{-2\sigma}\,dx \\ &\le \int_0^1 u^n(x,t)x^{-1}\,dx + \int_1^n xu^n(x,t)x\,dx \\ &\le \int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-1}\,dx + \int_0^n xu^n(x,t)x\,dx \\ &\le \|u_0^n(x)\|_Y = L. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Thus, by using (21) together with (24) and (25) we may estimate

$$\int_0^\infty (1+x+x^{-2\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx \le 3\|u_0^n(x)\|_Y = 3L.$$

ii) Choose $\epsilon > 0$ and let $R > 1$ be such that $R > \frac{2\|u_0^n(x)\|_Y}{\epsilon}$. Then we get using (21)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_R^\infty (1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx &= \int_R^\infty (1+x^{-\sigma})\frac{x}{x}u^n(x,t)\,dx \\ &\le \frac{1}{R}\int_R^\infty (x+x^{1-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx \\ &\le \frac{1}{R}\int_R^\infty xu^n(x,t)\,dx + \frac{1}{R}\int_1^\infty x^{1-\sigma}u^n(x,t)\,dx \\ &\le \frac{1}{R}\int_R^\infty xu^n(x,t)\,dx + \frac{1}{R}\int_1^\infty xu^n(x,t)\,dx \\ &\le \frac{2}{R}\|u_0^n(x)\|_Y < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

iii) From the proof of *(i)* we have that

$$\int_0^\infty xu^n(x,t)\,dx \le L \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_0^\infty x^{-2\sigma}u^n(x,t)\,dx \le L.$$

Splitting the integral domain leads us to

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,t)\,dx \le L. \tag{26}$$

By property *(ii)* we can choose $r > 1$ such that for all n and $t \in [0,T]$

$$\int_r^\infty (1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \tag{27}$$

Let χ_A denote the characteristic function of a set A, i.e.

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad x \notin A \end{cases}$$

and set

$$k(r) = \frac{1}{2}\max_{\substack{0\le x\le r\\ 0\le y\le r}} (x^\alpha + y^\alpha)^\beta (x^\sigma + y^\sigma).$$

Now, $\|u_0(x)\|_Y \leq L$ it leads to

$$\int_0^\infty u_0(x)\,dt \leq 2\|u_0(x)\|_Y = 2L.$$

By the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral, property (i), and (32) there exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+y)u^n(x,t)(x^{-\sigma}+x^{-2\sigma})\,dx < \frac{\epsilon}{2k(r)LT} \quad \text{for} \quad y \in [0,\infty[\quad \text{and} \quad t \in [0,T] \tag{28}$$

whenever $A \subset]0,\infty[$ with $\mu(A) \leq \delta_1$. Also there exists a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x)(1+x^{-\sigma})u_0^n(x)\,dx < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \tag{29}$$

for $\mu(A) \leq \delta_2$. Now we take $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ and multiply (10) by $(1+x^{-\sigma})\chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x)$. This we integrate from 0 to t w.r.t. s and over $[0,\infty[$ w.r.t. x. Using the non-negativity of each u^n and $\mu(A) \leq \delta$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x)(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x)\chi_{[0,x]\cap[0,r]}(y)(1+x^{-\sigma})K_n(x-y,y)u^n(x-y,s)u^n(y,s)\,dy\,dx\,ds \\
&+\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x)(1+x^{-\sigma})u_0^n(x)\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of integration, then a change of variable $x - y = x'$ and replacing x' by x gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x)(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+y)\chi_{[0,x+y]\cap[0,r]}(y)\left[1+(x+y)^{-\sigma}\right]K_n(x,y)u^n(x,s)u^n(y,s)\,dx\,dy\,ds \\
&+\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x)(1+x^{-\sigma})u_0^n(x)\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We use $K(x,y) \leq k(r)(xy)^{-\sigma}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x)(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx \\
&\leq k(r)\int_0^t\int_0^r u^n(y,s)y^{-\sigma}\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+y)u^n(x,s)\left[1+(x+y)^{-\sigma}\right]x^{-\sigma}\,dx\,dy\,ds \\
&\quad+\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x)(1+x^{-\sigma})u_0^n(x)\,dx \\
&\leq k(r)\int_0^t\int_0^r u^n(y,s)y^{-\sigma}\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+y)u^n(x,s)(x^{-\sigma}+x^{-2\sigma})\,dx\,dy\,ds+\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x)(1+x^{-\sigma})u_0^n(x)\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (32), (28) and (29) we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x)(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx \le k(r)LT\cdot\frac{\epsilon}{2k(r)LT}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}=\epsilon,$$

wherever $\mu(A)<\delta$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.5. □

Let us define $v^n(x,t)=x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,t)$. Due to the Lemma 3.5 above and the Dunford-Pettis Theorem, we can conclude that for each $t\in[0,T]$ the sequences $\{u^n(t)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{v^n(t)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are weakly relatively compact in $L^1\left(]0,\infty[\right)$.

3.3 Equicontinuity in time

Lemma 3.6 *Assume that Hypotheses* 1.1 *hold. Take* $\big(u^n\big)$ *now to be the sequence of extended solutions to the truncated problems (10)-(13) found in Theorem 3.4 and* $v^n(x,t)=x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,t)$. *Then there exists a subsequences* $\big(u^{n_k}(t)\big)$ *and* $\big(v^{n_l}(t)\big)$ *of* $\big(u^n(t)\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *and* $\big(v^n(t)\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *respectively such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&u^{n_k}(t)\rightharpoonup u(t) \quad \textit{in} \quad L^1\big(]0,\infty[\big) \quad \textit{as} \quad n_k\to\infty\\ &v^{n_l}(t)\rightharpoonup v(t) \quad \textit{in} \quad L^1\big(]0,\infty[\big) \quad \textit{as} \quad n_l\to\infty\end{aligned}$$

for any $t\in[0,T]$. *This convergence is uniform for all* $t\in[0,T]$ *giving* $u,v\in C_B\big([0,T];L^1\big(]0,\infty[\big)\big)=\big\{\eta:[0,\infty[\to L^1\big(]0,\infty[\big),\eta \text{ continuous and } \eta(t) \text{ bounded for all } t\ge 0\big\}$.

Proof: Choose $\epsilon>0$ and $\phi\in L^\infty\big(]0,\infty[\big)$. Let $s,t\in[0,T]$ and assume that $t\ge s$. Choose $a>1$ such that

$$\frac{6L}{a}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\le\epsilon/2. \tag{30}$$

Using Lemma 3.5(i), for each n, we have

$$\int_a^\infty |u^n(x,t)-u^n(x,s)|\,dx\le\frac{1}{a}\int_a^\infty x\,|u^n(x,t)+u^n(x,s)|\,dx\le 6L/a. \tag{31}$$

From the proof of Lemma 3.5(i) we have that

$$\int_0^\infty xu^n(x,t)\,dx\le L \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_0^\infty x^{-2\sigma}u^n(x,t)\,dx\le L.$$

Splitting the integral domain leads us to

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,t)\,dx\le L. \tag{32}$$

By multiplying (10) by ϕ and integrating w.r.t. x from 0 to a as well as from a to ∞, w.r.t. τ form s to t and using (30), (31) and $t \geq s$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^\infty \phi(x) \left[u^n(x,t) - u^n(x,s) \right] dx \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_0^a \phi(x) \left[u^n(x,t) - u^n(x,s) \right] dx \right| + \left| \int_a^\infty \phi(x) \left[u^n(x,t) - u^n(x,s) \right] dx \right| \\
&\leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)} \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y) u^n(x-y,\tau) u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, dx \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \int_0^a \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y) u^n(x,\tau) u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, dx \right] d\tau + \epsilon/2 \\
&= \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)} \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \int_0^{a-x} K_n(x,y) u^n(x,\tau) u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, dx \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \int_0^a \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y) u^n(x,\tau) u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, dx \right] d\tau + \epsilon/2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the estimation of $K(x,y)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^\infty \phi(x) \left[u^n(x,t) - u^n(x,s) \right] dx \right| \\
&\leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)} \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{1/n}^{a-1/n} \int_{1/n}^{a-x} (1+x+y)^\lambda (xy)^{-\sigma} u^n(x,\tau) u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, dx \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \int_{1/n}^a \int_{1/n}^{n-x} (1+x+y)^\lambda (xy)^{-\sigma} u^n(x,\tau) u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, dx \right] d\tau + \epsilon/2 \\
&\leq \frac{3}{2} \int_s^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (1+x+y)^\lambda (xy)^{-\sigma} u^n(x,\tau) u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, dx\, d\tau + \epsilon/2. \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

Making use of the inequalities (5) and (6) in the following way

$$(1+x+y)^p \leq C(1+x^p+y^p) \quad \text{where} \quad C = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq p \leq 1 \\ 2^{2p-2} & \text{if} \quad p > 1, \end{cases} \qquad (34)$$

for $p=\lambda$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \phi(x)\left[u^n(x,t)-u^n(x,s)\right]dx\right| \\
&\le 3C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty (1+x^\lambda+y^\lambda)(xy)^{-\sigma}u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\,d\tau+\epsilon/2 \\
&= 3C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty (x^{-\sigma}y^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma}y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma}x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\,d\tau+\epsilon/2 \\
&= 3C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\left[\int_s^t\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty x^{-\sigma}y^{-\sigma}u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\,d\tau\right. \\
&\qquad\left.+2\int_s^t\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty x^{\lambda-\sigma}y^{-\sigma}u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\,d\tau\right]+\epsilon/2.
\end{aligned}$$

From where, by using Lemma 3.5(i) and (32) we get

$$\left|\int_0^\infty \phi(x)\left[u^n(x,t)-u^n(x,s)\right]dx\right| \le 21C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}(t-s)L^2+\epsilon/2<\epsilon, \tag{35}$$

whenever $(t-s)<\delta$ for some $\delta>0$ sufficiently small. The argument given above similarly holds for $s<t$. Hence (35) holds for all n and $|t-s|<\delta$. Then the sequence $\left(u^n(t)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is time equicontinuous in $L^1\left(]0,\infty[\right)$. Thus, $\left(u^n(t)\right)$ lies in a relatively compact subset of a gauge space Ω_1. The gauge space Ω_1 is $L^1\left(]0,\infty[\right)$ equipped with the weak topology. For details about gauge spaces, see Ash [1, page 226]. Then, we may apply a version of the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, see Ash [1, page 228], to conclude that there exists a subsequence $\left(u^{n_k}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$u^{n_k}(t)\to u(t) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \quad \text{as} \quad n_k\to\infty,$$

uniformly for $t\in[0,T]$ for some $u\in C\left([0,T];L^1\left(]0,\infty[\right)\right)$.

Now let us consider $v^n(x,t)=x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,t)$ where we have to deal with a stronger singularity at 0. We take $\epsilon>0$, ϕ, s and t as they were defined before. Using Lemma 3.5, for each n, we get using $a>1$ chosen to satisfy (30)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^\infty |v^n(x,t)-v^n(x,s)|\,dx &= \int_a^\infty \left|x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,t)-x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,s)\right|dx \\
&\le \frac{1}{a}\int_a^\infty x^{1-\sigma}\left|u^n(x,t)+u^n(x,s)\right|dx \\
&\le \frac{1}{a}\int_a^\infty x\left|u^n(x,t)+u^n(x,s)\right|dx \le 6L/a.
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

By using (10), (30), (36), for $t \geq s$ and the definition of $v^n(x)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \phi(x)\left[v^n(x,t)-v^n(x,s)\right]dx\right| \\
&\leq \int_0^a \phi(x)\left[v^n(x,t)+v^n(x,s)\right]dx+\epsilon/2 \\
&\leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^a\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)u^n(x-y,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\int_0^a\int_0^{n-x}K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx\right]d\tau+\epsilon/2 \\
&= \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^a\int_0^{a-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)(x+y)^{-\sigma}dy\,dx\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\int_0^a\int_0^{n-x}K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx\right]d\tau+\epsilon/2.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $y=0$ in the term $(x+y)^{-\sigma}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \phi(x)\left[v^n(x,t)-v^n(x,s)\right]dx\right| \\
&\leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^a\int_0^{a-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\int_0^a\int_0^{n-x}K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx\right]d\tau+\epsilon/2 \\
&= \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{1/n}^{a-1/n}\int_{1/n}^{a-x} K(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\int_{1/n}^a\int_{1/n}^{n-x}K(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx\right]d\tau+\epsilon/2 \\
&\leq \frac{3}{2}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty K(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx+\epsilon/2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the estimation of $K(x,y)$ and the inequality (5) together (34) for $p=\lambda$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \phi(x)\left[v^n(x,t)-v^n(x,s)\right]dx\right| \\
&\leq \frac{3}{2}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty(1+x+y)^\lambda(xy)^{-\sigma}u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx\,d\tau+\epsilon/2 \\
&\leq \frac{3}{2}C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty(x^{-2\sigma}y^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-2\sigma}y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma}x^{-2\sigma})u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\,d\tau+\epsilon/2.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3.5(i) and (32) we obtain

$$\left|\int_0^\infty \phi(x)\left[v^n(x,t)-v^n(x,s)\right]dx\right| \leq \frac{27}{2}C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}(t-s)L^2+\epsilon/2.$$

We can use now the same argument used for u^n to conclude that there exists a subsequence $\left(v^{n_k}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$v^{n_k}(t)\to v(t) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \quad \text{as} \quad n_k\to\infty,$$

uniformly for $t\in[0,T]$ for some $v\in C\left([0,T];L^1\left(]0,\infty[\right)\right)$.
Since $T>0$ is arbitrary we obtain $u,v\in C_B\left([0,\infty[;L^1\left(]0,\infty[\right)\right)$ □

Lemma 3.7 *For $v^n(\cdot,t)$ defined as before, we have*

$$v^n(\cdot,t)\rightharpoonup v(\cdot,t) \quad \textit{where} \quad v(x,t)=x^{-\sigma}u(x,t) \quad \textit{for all} \quad t\in[0,T] \quad \textit{in} \quad L^1(]0,a]).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, we know that $v^n(t)\rightharpoonup v(t)$ in $L^1\left(]0,\infty[\right)$ as $n\to\infty$ uniformly for $t\in[0,T]$. Then, we just need to prove that $v(x,t)=x^{-\sigma}u(x,t)$

By definition of weak convergence we have

$$\int_0^a \varphi(x)\left[v^n(x,t)-v(x,t)\right]dx\to 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi\in L^\infty\left(]0,a]\right)$$

as $x^\sigma\in L^\infty\left(]0,a]\right)$

$$\int_0^a \varphi(x)\left[x^\sigma v^n(x,t)-x^\sigma v(x,t)\right]dx=\int_0^a \varphi(x)\left[u^n(x,t)-x^\sigma v(x,t)\right]dx\to 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi\in L^\infty\left(]0,a]\right)$$

as $u^n\rightharpoonup u$ we have due to the uniqueness of the weak limit of weak convergence, $v(x,t)=x^{-\sigma}u(x,t)$. □

4 Existence Theorem

4.1 Convergence of the integrals

In order to show that the limit function which we obtained above is indeed a solution to (1)-(2), we define the operators M_i^n, M_i, $i=1,2$

$$M_1^n(u^n)(x)=\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)u^n(x-y)u^n(y)\,dy \qquad M_1(u)(x)=\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)u(x-y)u(y)\,dy$$

$$M_2^n(u^n)(x)=\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy \qquad M_2(u)(x)=\int_0^\infty K(x,y)u(x)u(y)\,dy,$$

where $u\in L^1\left(]0,\infty[\right)$, $x\in[0,\infty[$ and $n=1,2,\ldots$. Set $M^n=M_1^n-M_2^n$ and $M=M_1-M_2$.

Lemma 4.1 *Suppose that $\left(u^n\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset Y^+$, $u\in Y^+$ where $\|u^n\|_Y\leq L$, $\|u\|_Y\leq Q$, $u^n\rightharpoonup u$ and $v^n\rightharpoonup v$ in $L^1\left(]0,\infty[\right)$ as $n\to\infty$. Then for each $a>0$*

$$M^n(u^n)\rightharpoonup M(u) \quad \textit{in} \quad L^1\left(]0,a[\right) \quad \textit{as} \quad n\to\infty.$$

Proof: Choose $a>0$ and let $\phi\in L^\infty\left(]0,\infty[\right)$. We show that $M_i^n(u^n)\rightharpoonup M_i(u)$ in $L^1\left(]0,a[\right)$ as $n\to\infty$ for $i=1,2$.
Case i=1: For $u\in Y^+$ and $x\in[0,a]$ we define the operator g by

$$g(v)(x)=\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{a-x}\phi(x+y)K(x,y)(xy)^\sigma v(y)\,dy \quad \text{where} \quad v=x^{-\sigma}u.$$

We consider

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^a \phi(x)M_1^n(u^n)(x)\,dx &= \int_0^a \phi(x)\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)u^n(x-y)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^a\int_0^{a-x} \phi(x+y)K_n(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{1/n}^{a-1/n}\int_{1/n}^{a-x} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{1/n}^{a-1/n}\int_{0}^{a-x} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\int_{1/n}^{a-1/n}\int_{0}^{1/n} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\\ &= \int_{1/n}^{a-1/n} g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{1/n}^{a-1/n}\int_{0}^{1/n} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx. \end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

In a similar way we also find that

$$\int_0^a \phi(x)M_1(u)(x)\,dx = \int_0^a g(v)(x)x^{-\sigma}u(x)\,dx = \int_0^a g(v)(x)v(x)\,dx.\tag{38}$$

For *a.e.* $x \in [0,a]$ the function defined by

$$\varphi_x(y) := \frac{1}{2}\chi_{[0,a-x]}(y)\phi(x+y)K(x,y)(xy)^\sigma \le \frac{1}{2}\chi_{[0,a-x]}(y)\phi(x+y)(1+x+y)^\lambda$$

where χ denotes the characteristic function, is in $L^\infty\big(]0,\infty[\big)$. Since $v^n \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^1\big(]0,\infty[\big)$, it follows that

$$g(v^n)(x) \to g(v)(x) \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad x \in [0,a].\tag{39}$$

Also, by (32) we have

$$\begin{aligned}|g(v^n)(x)| &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{a-x} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)(xy)^\sigma v^n(y)\,dy\\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{a-x} \phi(x+y)(1+x+y)^\lambda v^n(y)\,dy\\ &\le \frac{1}{2}(1+2a)^\lambda \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}L \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad x\in[0,a].\end{aligned}\tag{40}$$

This holds analogously for $g(v)$. Thus, both, $g(v^n)$ and $g(v)$ are in $L^\infty\big([0,a]\big)$ with bound

$$\|g(v^n)\|_{L^\infty([0,a])} + \|g(v)\|_{L^\infty([0,a])} \le \frac{1}{2}(1+2a)^\lambda\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}(L+Q).\tag{41}$$

It follows by (39) and Egoroff's Theorem [1, page 94] that

$$g(v^n) \to g(v) \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty \quad \text{almost uniformly in} \quad [0,a].\tag{42}$$

Remember that almost uniformly means that for any given δ there exists a set $E \subseteq [0,a]$ such that $\mu(E) < \delta$ and $g(v^n) \to g(v)$ uniformly on $[0,a]\setminus E$ as $n\to\infty$.

By Lemma 3.5(*iii*), since $v^n \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^1\big(]0,\infty[\big)$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for all n

$$\int\limits_A v^n(x)\,dx < \epsilon/\left[(1+2a)^\lambda \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}(L+Q)\right] \quad \text{whenever} \quad \mu(A)<\delta. \tag{43}$$

Taking $A=E$ by the Hölder inequality we obtain using (41) and (43)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int\limits_0^a [g(v^n)(x)-g(v)(x)]\,v^n(x)\,dx\right| \\
&\le \left|\int_{[0,a]\setminus E} [g(v^n)(x)-g(v)(x)]\,v^n(x)\,dx\right| + \left|\int_E [g(v^n)(x)-g(v)(x)]\,v^n(x)\,dx\right| \\
&\le \|g(v^n)-g(v)\|_{L^\infty([0,a]\setminus E)} \int_{[0,a]\setminus E} v^n(x)\,dx + \left(\|g(v^n)\|_{L^\infty(E)} + \|g(v)\|_{L^\infty(E)}\right)\int_E v^n(x)\,dx \\
&\le \|g(v^n)-g(v)\|_{L^\infty([0,a]\setminus E)} \int_{[0,a]\setminus E} v^n(x)\,dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \le \epsilon \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon>0$ was arbitrarily chosen

$$\left|\int\limits_0^a [g(v^n)(x)-g(v)(x)]\,v^n(x)\,dx\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty. \tag{44}$$

Also, since $g(v)\in L^\infty([0,a])$ is bounded independently of n by (40) and $v^n \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^1\big(]0,\infty[\big)$ as $n\to\infty$

$$\left|\int\limits_0^a g(v)(x)\,[v^n(x)-v(x)]\,dx\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty. \tag{45}$$

Now, since $g(v^n)\in L^\infty\big([0,a]\big)$ and $v^n \in L^1\big([0,\infty[\big)$ and uniformly bounded in the respective norms, by the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral, we have

$$\left|\int\limits_{a-1/n}^a g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right| \le \|g(v^n)\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}\left|\int\limits_{a-1/n}^a v^n(x)\,dx\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty,$$

In the same way we get

$$\left|\int\limits_0^{1/n} g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty, \tag{46}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int\limits_{1/n}^{a-1/n}\int\limits_0^{1/n} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u(x)u(y)\,dy\,dx\right| \\
&\le \left|\int\limits_{1/n}^{a-1/n}\int\limits_0^{1/n} \phi(x+y)(1+x+y)^\lambda v(x)v(y)\,dy\,dx\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Now, it follows from (37) and (38) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M_1^n(u^n)(x) - M_1(u)(x)\right] dx\right| \\
&= \left|\int_{1/n}^{a-1/n} g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx - \int_{1/n}^{a-1/n}\int_0^{1/n} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx - \int_0^a g(v)(x)v(x)\,dx\right| \\
&= \left|\int_0^a g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx - \int_0^a g(v)(x)v(x)\,dx - \int_{a-1/n}^a g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^{1/n} g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx - \int_{1/a}^{a-1/n}\int_0^{1/n} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\right| \\
&\le \left|\int_0^a g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx - \int_0^a g(v)(x)v(x)\,dx\right| + \left|\int_{a-1/n}^a g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right| \\
&\quad + \left|\int_0^{1/n} g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right| + \left|\int_{1/n}^{a-1/n}\int_0^{1/n} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\right|
\end{aligned}$$

By addition and subtraction of the term $\int_0^a g(v)(x)v^n(x)\,dx$ in the first term of the above inequality, it results that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M_1^n(u^n)(x) - M_1(u)(x)\right] dx\right| \\
&\le \left|\int_0^a g(v)(x)\left[v^n(x) - v(x)\right] dx\right| + \left|\int_0^a \left[g(v^n)(x) - g(v)(x)\right] v^n(x)\,dx\right| + \left|\int_{a-1/n}^a g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right| \\
&\quad + \left|\int_0^{1/n} g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right| + \left|\int_{1/n}^{a-1/n}\int_0^{1/n} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by (44)-(47) and taking $n \to \infty$ we have

$$\left|\int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M_1^n(u^n)(x) - M_1(u)(x)\right] dx\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{48}$$

It follows, since ϕ is arbitrary, that

$$M_1^n(u^n)(x) \rightharpoonup M_1(u)(x) \quad \text{in} \quad L^1\big(]0,a[\big) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{49}$$

Case i=2: For every $\epsilon > 0$ and C defined by (34) we can choose b such that

$$C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}\left[(2b^{-(1+\sigma)} + b^{\lambda-\sigma-1})(L^2+Q^2)\right] < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \tag{50}$$

Redefining the operator g for $u \in Y^+$ and $x \in [0,a]$ by

$$g(v)(x) = \int_0^b \phi(x)K(x,y)(xy)^\sigma v(y)\,dy$$

For *a.e.* $x \in [0,a]$ the function defined by

$$\varphi_x(y) := \frac{1}{2}\chi_{[0,b]}(y)\phi(x+y)K(x,y)(xy)^\sigma$$

where, as before, χ denotes the characteristic function, is in $L^\infty\big(]0,\infty[\big)$. Using a similar argument as the one that was used in (39)-(44) it can be shown that also for the above redefined g (44) and (45) hold. By **H3)** and C as in (34) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^a\int_b^\infty \phi(x)K(x,y)\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)-u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx\right| \\
&\le C\int_0^a\int_b^\infty |\phi(x)|\left(1+x^\lambda+y^\lambda\right)(xy)^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx \\
&\le C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}\left[\int_0^a\int_b^\infty x^{-\sigma}y^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad +\int_0^a\int_b^\infty x^{\lambda-\sigma}y^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.+\int_0^a\int_b^\infty x^{-\sigma}y^{\lambda-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx\right]. \qquad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

We can estimate the first integral term of (51) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^a\int_b^\infty x^{-\sigma}y^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx \\
&\le \int_b^\infty y^{-\sigma}\left[\int_0^1 x^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dx+\int_1^a x^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dx\right]dy \\
&\le \int_b^\infty y^{-\sigma}\left[\int_0^1 x^{-1}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dx+\int_1^a x\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dx\right]dy \\
&\le \int_b^\infty y^{-\sigma}\left[\int_0^a (x^{-1}+x)\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dx\right]dy \\
&\le b^{-(1+\sigma)}\int_b^\infty y\left[Lu^n(y)+Qu(y)\right]dy\le b^{-(1+\sigma)}(L^2+Q^2). \qquad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

In the similar way we have

$$\int_0^a\int_b^\infty x^{\lambda-\sigma}y^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx\le b^{-(1+\sigma)}(L^2+Q^2), \qquad (53)$$

and

$$\int_0^a\int_b^\infty x^{-\sigma}y^{\lambda-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx\le b^{\lambda-\sigma-1}(L^2+Q^2). \qquad (54)$$

By (52)-(54) and (50), (51) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_0^a\int_b^\infty \phi(x)K(x,y)\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)-u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx\right| &\le C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}\left[(2b^{-(1+\sigma)}+b^{\lambda-\sigma-1})(L^2+Q^2)\right] \\
&<\frac{\epsilon}{3}. \qquad (55)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using Lemma 3.5(i) and (32) together with the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral, we have

$$\left|\int_0^a\int_0^{1/n}\phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\right|\le\frac{\epsilon}{3}\quad\text{for } n \text{ larger than some } n_0, \qquad (56)$$

and

$$\left|\int_0^{1/n}\int_{1/n}^{n-x}\phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\right| \le \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad \text{for } n \ge n_0. \tag{57}$$

Also, proceeding as before, for $n > a$ we have

$$\left|\int_0^{a}\int_{n-x}^{\infty}\phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\right| \le C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}\Big[(2(n-a)^{-(1+\sigma)}+(n-a)^{\lambda-\sigma-1})(L^2+Q^2)\Big] \tag{58}$$

From (55)-(58) together with the analogues of (44) and (45), for $n > a$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M_2^n(u^n)(x) - M_2(u)(x)\right]dx\right| \\
&= \Bigg|\int_0^a\int_0^{n-x}\phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx - \int_0^a\int_0^{\infty}\phi(x)K(x,y)u(x)u(y)\,dy\,dx \\
&\quad - \int_0^a\int_0^{1/n}\phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx - \int_0^{1/n}\int_{1/n}^{n-x}\phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\Bigg| \\
&= \Bigg|\int_0^a\int_0^{b}\phi(x)K(x,y)\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)-u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx + \int_0^a\int_b^{\infty}\phi(x)K(x,y)\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)-u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx \\
&\quad - \int_0^a\int_0^{1/n}\phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx - \int_0^{1/n}\int_{1/n}^{n-x}\phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx \\
&\quad - \int_0^a\int_{n-x}^{\infty}\phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\Bigg| \\
&\le \left|\int_0^a\left[g(v^n)(x)-g(v)(x)\right]v^n(x)\,dx\right| + \left|\int_0^a g(v)(x)\left[v^n(x)-v(x)\right]dx\right| + \epsilon \\
&\quad + C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}\Big[\Big((n-a)^{-(1+\sigma)}+(n-a)^{\lambda-\sigma-1}\Big)(L^2+Q^2)\Big] \le \epsilon \quad \text{as} \quad n_0\to\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since ϕ and ϵ are arbitrary, we conclude that

$$M_2^n(u^n)(x) \rightharpoonup M_2(u)(x) \quad \text{in} \quad L^1\big(]0,a[\big) \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty. \tag{59}$$

Lemma 4.1 follows from (49) and (59). □

4.2 The existence result

Theorem 4.2 *Suppose that Hypotheses 2.1 hold and assume that* $u_0 \in Y^+$. *Then (1) has a solution* $u \in C_B\big([0,\infty[, Y^+\big)$.

Proof. Choose $T, m > 0$, and let $\big(u^n\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the weakly convergent subsequence of approximating solutions obtained above, in the proof of Lemma 3.6. From Lemma 3.6 we have $u \in C_B\left([0,\infty[, L^1\big(]0,\infty[\big)\right)$. For $t\in[0,T]$ we obtain due to weak convergence

$$\int_0^m xu(x,t)\,dx = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^m xu^n(x,t)\,dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{1/m}^m x^{-1}u(x,t)\,dx = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{1/m}^m x^{-1}u^n(x,t)\,dx.$$

Using the mass conservation property (21) and (22), this gives the uniform estimate

$$\int_0^m xu(x,t)\,dx + \int_{1/m}^m x^{-1}u(x,t)\,dx \le 2L \quad \text{for any } n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Then taking $m \to \infty$ the uniqueness of weak limits implies that $u \in Y^+$ with $\|u\|_Y \le 2L$. Let $\phi \in L^\infty\big(]0,a[\big)$. From Lemma 3.6 we have for each $s \in [0,t]$

$$u^n(t) \rightharpoonup u(t) \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(]0,a[) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{60}$$

For Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 4.1 for each $s \in [0,t]$ we have for $M^n = M_1^n - M_2^n$ and $M = M_1 - M_2$

$$\int_0^a \phi(x)\,[M^n(u^n(s))(x) - M(u(s))(x)]\,dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{61}$$

Also, for $s \in [0,t]$, using Lemma 3.5(i), (32), $\|u\|_Y \le 2L$, and C as in (34) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^a |\phi(x)|\,|M^n(u^n(s))(x) - M(u(s))(x)|\,dx \\
&\le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,a[)} \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^a\int_0^x K(x-y,y)\,[u^n(x-y,s)u^n(y,s) + u(x-y,s)u(y,s)]\,dy\,dx \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \int_0^a\int_0^{n-x} K(x,y)u^n(x,s)u^n(y,s)\,dy\,dx + \int_0^a\int_0^\infty K(x,y)u(x,s)u(y,s)\,dy\,dx\right] \\
&\le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,a[)} \left[\frac{5}{2}(1+2a)^\lambda + 19C\right] L^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Since the left-hand side of (62) is in $L^1\big(]0,t[\big)$ we have by (61), (62) and the dominated convergence theorem

$$\left|\int_0^t\int_0^a \phi(x)\,[M^n(u^n(s))(x) - M(u(s))(x)]\,dx\,ds\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{63}$$

Since ϕ was chosen arbitrarily the limit (63) holds for all $\phi \in L^\infty\big(]0,a[\big)$. By Fubini's Theorem we get

$$\int_0^t M^n(u^n(s))(x)\,ds \rightharpoonup \int_0^t M(u(s))(x)\,ds \quad \text{in} \quad L^1\big(]0,a[\big) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{64}$$

From the definition of M^n for $t \in [0,T]$

$$u^n(t) = \int_0^t M^n(u^n(s))\,ds + u^n(0).$$

Thus it follows by (64), (60) and the uniqueness of weak limits that

$$u(x,t) = \int_0^t M(u(s))(x)\,ds + u(x,0) \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad x \in [0,a].$$

It follows from the fact that T and a are arbitrary that u is a solution to (1) on $[0,\infty[^2$. And this completes the proof of Theorem 4.2. $\square$

5 Uniqueness of Solutions

Theorem 5.1 *If **H1)**, **H2)** and **H3')** hold then the problem (1)-(2) has a unique solution* $u \in C_B\big([0,\infty[, Y^+\big)$.

Proof: Let us consider u_1 and u_2 to be solutions to (1)-(2) on $[0,T]$ for $T > 0$ arbitrarily choosen, with $u_1(x,0) = u_2(x,0)$ and set $U = u_1 - u_2$. We define for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$m^n(t) = \int_0^n (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\,|U(x,t)|\,dx.$$

Now, for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $\operatorname{sgn}(\delta)$ as follows

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\delta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \delta > 0 \\ 0 & \delta = 0 \\ -1 & \delta < 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that if $\zeta(\cdot)$ is an absolutely continuous function of t, then so is $t \mapsto |\zeta(t)|$, and

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\zeta(t)| = \operatorname{sgn}(\zeta(t))\frac{d}{dt}\zeta(t) \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{65}$$

Also note that by Definition 2.1 **iv)**, $u(x,\cdot)$ is absolutely continuous on $[0,T]$ for a.e. $x \in [0,\infty[$ and therefore $u(x,t)$ satisfise (1) for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$, see [12, page 354]. Then, taking the difference of the derivative of the solutions u_1 and u_2 in (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U(x,t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)\left[u_1(x-y,t)u_1(y,t) - u_2(x-y,t)u_2(y,t)\right]dy \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty K(x,y)\left[u_1(x,t)u_1(y,t) - u_2(x,t)u_2(y,t)\right]dy. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (65) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial |U(x,t)|}{\partial t} &= \operatorname{sgn}(U(x,t))\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)\left[u_1(x-y,t)u_1(y,t) - u_2(x-y,t)u_2(y,t)\right]dy\right. \\ &\qquad \left. - \int_0^\infty K(x,y)\left[u_1(x,t)u_1(y,t) - u_2(x,t)u_2(y,t)\right]dy\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $(x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})$ and integrating from 0 to t and from 0 to n w.r.t. τ and x respectively, and applying Fubini's Theorem, for each n and $0 < t < T$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m^n(t) = &\int_0^t\int_0^n (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}(U(x,\tau))\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)\left[u_1(x-y,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x-y,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)\right]dy\right. \\ &\left. - \int_0^\infty K(x,y)\left[u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)\right]dy\right]dx\,d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Using the substitution $y - x = x'$ in the first of the inner integrals w.r.t. x and y on the right hand side of (66) we find that it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^n (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}(U(x,\tau))\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)\left[u_1(x-y,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x-y,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)\right]dy\,dx \\ &= \int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} \frac{1}{2}\left[(x+y)^{-\sigma} + (x+y)^{\lambda-\sigma}\right]\operatorname{sgn}(U(x+y,\tau))K(x,y)\left[u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)\right]dy\,dx. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (66) gives

$$\begin{aligned} m^n(t) = &\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left[(x+y)^{-\sigma} + (x+y)^{\lambda-\sigma}\right]\operatorname{sgn}\left(U(x+y,\tau)\right) - (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}\left(U(x,\tau)\right)\right] \\ &\qquad \cdot K(x,y)\left[u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)\right]dy\,dx\,d\tau \\ &- \int_0^t\int_0^n\int_{n-x}^\infty (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}\left(U(x,\tau)\right)K(x,y)\left[u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)\right]dy\,dx\,d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

We note now, using the symmetry of K, by interchanging the order of integration and interchanging the roles of x and y yields the identity

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x}(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}(U(x,\tau))\,K(x,y)\,[u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau)-u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)]\,dy\,dx\\&=\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x}(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}(U(y,\tau))\,K(x,y)\,[u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau)-u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)]\,dy\,dx.\end{aligned}\tag{68}$$

For $x,y\geq 0$ and $t\in[0,T]$ we define the function w by

$$\begin{aligned}w(x,y,t)=\;&\left[(x+y)^{-\sigma}+(x+y)^{\lambda-\sigma}\right]\operatorname{sgn}(U(x+y,t))\\&-(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}(U(x,t))-(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}(U(y,t)).\end{aligned}$$

Using (68) and this definition, we can rewrite (67) as

$$\begin{aligned}m^n(t)=&\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x}w(x,y,\tau)K(x,y)\,[u_1(x,\tau)U(y,\tau)+u_2(y,\tau)U(x,\tau)]\,dy\,dx\,d\tau\\&-\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_{n-x}^{\infty}(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}(U(x,\tau))K(x,y)\,[u_1(x,\tau)U(y,\tau)+u_2(y,\tau)U(x,\tau)]\,dy\,dx\,d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{69}$$

Since the second term in the second integral of (69) is positive, we can delete it and get the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}m^n(t)\leq&\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x}w(x,y,\tau)K(x,y)u_1(x,\tau)U(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\,d\tau\\&+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x}w(x,y,\tau)K(x,y)u_2(y,\tau)U(x,\tau)\,dy\,dx\,d\tau\\&-\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_{n-x}^{\infty}(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}(U(x,\tau))K(x,y)u_1(x,\tau)U(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\,d\tau\\&=\int_0^t[I_{31}(\tau)+I_{32}(\tau)+I_{33}(\tau)]\,d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{70}$$

Taking into account that for all $q\in\mathbb{R}$ $|q|=q\operatorname{sgn}(q)$ holds, we can estimate

$$w(x,y,t)U(y,t)\leq\left[\left[(x+y)^{-\sigma}+(x+y)^{\lambda-\sigma}\right]+(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})-(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\right]|U(y,t)|\,.$$

Using the inequalities (5) and (7) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}w(x,y,t)U(y,t)&\leq\left[\left[2^{-\sigma-1}(x^{-\sigma}+y^{-\sigma})+(x^{\lambda-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\right]+(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})-(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\right]|U(y,t)|\\&\leq\left[\left[(x^{-\sigma}+y^{-\sigma})+(x^{\lambda-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\right]+(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})-(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\right]|U(y,t)|\\&\leq 2(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})\,|U(y,t)|\,.\end{aligned}\tag{71}$$

Now, we use (71) to work on each term of the right hand side of (70)

$$\int_0^t I_{31}(\tau)\,d\tau\leq\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x}(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})K(x,y)u_1(x,\tau)\,|U(y,\tau)|\,dy\,dx\,d\tau.\tag{72}$$

Using the estimate **H3')** of $K(x,y)$ and (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t I_{31}(\tau)\,d\tau &\le \kappa_1 \int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} (x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})u_1(x,\tau)\,|U(y,\tau)|\,dy\,dx\,d\tau\\ &= \kappa_1 \int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} (x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})^2 u_1(x,\tau)(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\,|U(y,\tau)|\,dy\,dx\,d\tau\\ &\le 2\kappa_1 \int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} \left(x^{-2\sigma}+x^{2(\lambda-\sigma)}\right) u_1(x,\tau)(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\,|U(y,\tau)|\,dy\,dx\,d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Due to $\lambda-\sigma, \sigma \in [0,1/2]$ and the definition of $m^n(t)$ from the inequality above it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t I_{31}(\tau)\,d\tau &\le 2\kappa_1 \int_0^t m^n(\tau)\left[\int_0^1 \left(x^{-2\sigma}+x^{2(\lambda-\sigma)}\right)u_1(x,\tau)\,dx + \int_1^n \left(x^{-2\sigma}+x^{2(\lambda-\sigma)}\right)u_1(x,\tau)dx\right]d\tau\\ &\le 2\kappa_1 \int_0^t m^n(\tau)\left[\int_0^1 (x^{-1}+1)u_1(x,\tau)\,dx + \int_1^n (1+x)u_1(x,\tau)\,dx\right]d\tau\\ &\le 2\kappa_1 \int_0^t m^n(\tau)\left[2\int_0^n x^{-1}u_1(x,\tau)\,dx + 2\int_0^n xu_1(x,\tau)\,dx\right]d\tau\\ &\le \Lambda_1 \int_0^t m^n(\tau)\,d\tau, \quad \text{where} \quad \Lambda_1 = 4\kappa_1 \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \|u_1(s)\|_Y. \qquad (73)\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, there is a constant Λ_2 such that

$$\int_0^t I_{32}(\tau)\,d\tau \le \Lambda_2 \int_0^t m^n(\tau)\,d\tau. \qquad (74)$$

To consider I_{33} we first see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty (x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})\,\mathrm{sgn}(U(x,t))K(x,y)u_1(x,t)U(y,t)\,dy\,dx\right|\\ &\le 2\kappa_1 \int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \left(x^{-2\sigma}+x^{2(\lambda-\sigma)}\right)(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})u_1(x,t)\,|U(y,t)|\,dy\,dx < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the dominated convergence theorem leads to

$$\int_0^t I_{33}(\tau)\,d\tau \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty. \qquad (75)$$

Therefore, due to (70), (73), (74), (75) and taking $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) := \int_0^\infty (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\, |U(x,t)|\, dx = {} & \lim_{n\to\infty} m^n(t) \\ & \le \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^t [I_{31}(\tau) + I_{32}(\tau) + I_{33}(\tau)]\, d\tau \\ & \le \lim_{n\to\infty} \Lambda \int_0^t m^n(\tau)\, d\tau + \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^t I_{33}(\tau)\, d\tau \\ & = \Lambda \int_0^t \int_0^\infty (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\, |U(x,t)|\, dx\, d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From where we have the inequality

$$m(t) \le \Lambda \int_0^t m(\tau)\, d\tau. \tag{76}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality, see e.g. [20, page 361] to (76), we obtain

$$m(t) = \int_0^\infty (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\, |U(x,t)|\, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Thus,

$$u_1(x,t) = u_2(x,t) \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad x \in]0,\infty[.$$

□

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the International Max-Planck Research School, 'Analysis, Design and Optimization in Chemical and Biochemical Process Engineering', Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg. The authors gratefully thank for the funding of C. Cueto Camejo through this PhD program by the state of Saxony-Anhalt.

References

[1] Ash, R. B. *Measure, Integration and Functional Analysis.* Academic Press, New York, 1972.

[2] Dullemond, C.P., Dominik, C. Dust coagulation in protoplanetary disks: A rapid depletion of small grains. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 434:971–986, 2005.

[3] Escobedo, M., Mischler, S. Dust and self-similarity for the Smoluchowski coagulation equation. *Ann. I. H. Poincaré-AN*, 23:331–362, 2006.

[4] Fournier, N., Laurençot, P. Existence of self-similar solutions to smoluchowski's coagulation equation. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 256:589–609, 2005.

[5] Fournier, N., Laurençot, P. Well-posedness of Smoluchowski's coagulation equation for a class of homogeneous kernels. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 233:351–379, 2006.

[6] Giri, A. K. *Mathematical and numerical analysis for coagulation-fragmentation equations.* PhD thesis, 2010.

[7] Hounslow, M. J. The population balance as a tool for understanding particle rate processes. *KONA Powder and Particle Journal*, 16:179–193, 1998.

[8] Kapur, P.C. Kinetics of granulation by non-random coalescence mechanism. *Kinetics of granulation by non-random coalescence mechanism*, 27:1863–1869, 1972.

[9] McLeod, J. B. On the scalar transport equation. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (3) 14:445–458, 1964.

[10] Menon, G., Pego, R.L. Approach to self-similarity in Smoluchowski's coagulation equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 57:1197–1232, 2004.

[11] Müller, H. Zur allgemeinen Theorie der raschen Koagulation. *Kolloidchemische Beihefte*, 27:223–250, 1928.

[12] Nielsen, O. A. *An Introduction to Integration and Measure Theory.* A Wiley-Interscience Publication, 1997.

[13] Norris, J. R. Smoluchowski's coagulation equation: uniqueness, non-uniqueness and hydrodynamic limit for the stochastic coalescent. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 9:78–109, 1999.

[14] Ramkrisha, D. *Population Balances. Theory and Applications to Particulate Systems in Engineering.* Academic Press, 2000.

[15] Sienfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Phisics From Air Pollution to Climate Change.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 2006.

[16] Smoluchowski, M. Versuch einer mathematischen Theorie der Koagulationskinetik kolloider Lösungen. *Zeitschrift für physikalische Chemie*, XCII:129–168, 1917.

[17] Stewart, I. W. A uniqueness theorem for the coagulation-fragmentation equation. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc*, 107:573–578, 1990.

[18] Stewart, I.W. A global existence theorem for the general coagulation-fragmentation equation with unbounded kernels. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 11:627–648, 1989.

[19] Tan H. S., Goldschmidt, M. J. V., Boerefijn, R., Hounslow, M. J., Salman, A. D., Kuipers, J. A. M. Building population balance model for fluidized bed melt granulation: lessons from kinetic theory of granular flow. *Powder Technology*, 142:103–109, 2004.

[20] Walter, W. *Analysis 1.* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.